identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy U.S. Department of Homeland Security

U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) 20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090 Washington, DC 20529-2090

PUBLIC COPY





D7

DATE:

JUL 2 1 2011

OFFICE: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiaries:

PETITION:

Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew

Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed, although the matter is most due to the passage of time.

The petitioner filed this nonimmigrant petition seeking to employ the beneficiary in the position of plant manager as an L-1B nonimmigrant intracompany transferee with specialized knowledge pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L). The petitioner states that it is engaged in services, distribution and wholesale of nutritional products. The petitioner claims to be an affiliate of Faraqro S.A. DE C.V., located in Mexico. The petitioner seeks to employ the beneficiary for a period of one year.

On May 15, 2009, the director denied the petition concluding that the petitioner failed to establish that the position offered to the beneficiary requires an employee with specialized knowledge.

On June 16, 2009, counsel for the petitioner submitted the Form I-290B to appeal the denial of the underlying petition. The petitioner marked the box at part two of the Form I-290B to indicate that a brief and/or evidence would be sent within 30 days. The appeal brief was never received by the AAO, thus, the AAO deems the record complete and ready for adjudication.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

On the Form I-290B, the counsel for the petitioner states that "a brief in support will be filed within 30 days."

To establish eligibility under section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Act, the petitioner must meet certain criteria. Specifically, within three years preceding the beneficiary's application for admission into the United States, a firm, corporation, or other legal entity, or an affiliate or subsidiary thereof, must have employed the beneficiary for one continuous year. Furthermore, the beneficiary must seek to enter the United States temporarily to continue rendering his or her services to the same employer or a subsidiary or affiliate thereof in a managerial, executive, or specialized knowledge capacity.

Upon review, the AAO concurs with the director's decision and affirms the denial of the petition. As no erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact has been specifically identified and as no additional evidence is presented on appeal to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v). It is also noted that the petitioner requested the beneficiary's services from January 23, 2009 until January 23, 2010. Therefore, the period of requested employment has passed.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed. The petition is denied.